Quasinormal modes of non-Abelian hyperscaling violating Lifshitz black holes

Ramón Bécar*

Departamento de Ciencias Matemáticas y Físicas,

Universidad Católica de Temuco, Montt 56, Casilla 15-D, Temuco, Chile

P. A. González†

Facultad de Ingeniería, Universidad Diego Portales,

Avenida Ejército Libertador 441, Casilla 298-V, Santiago, Chile.

Yerko Vásquez‡

Departamento de Física, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de La Serena,

Avenida Cisternas 1200, La Serena, Chile.

(Dated: October 16, 2015)

arXiv:1510.04605v1 [hep-th] 15 Oct 2015

Abstract

We study scalar perturbations of non-Abelian hyperscaling violating Lifshitz black holes, and we find analytically the quasinormal modes for massless scalar fields in the background of a Lifshitz black hole with dynamical exponent $z = 2$ and hyperscaling violating factor $\theta > -2$, and we find that the system is always overdamped. Also, we consider different values of the dynamical exponent and the hyperscaling violating exponent and we show numerically that the quasinormal frequencies have a negative imaginary part and the system is always overdamped. Thus, the black hole is stable under scalar field perturbations.

* rbecar@uct.cl

† pablo.gonzalez@udp.cl

‡ yvasquez@userena.cl

CONTENTS

I. Introduction 3

II. Non-Abelian hyperscaling violating Lifshitz black holes 4

III. Scalar perturbations 5

1. Analytical case 8

2. Numerical analysis 10

IV. Final remarks 11

Acknowledgments 14

A. Improved AIM 14

References 15

I. INTRODUCTION

The gauge/gravity duality contains interesting gravity theories. One of them is the known anti-de Sitter (AdS) gravity theory that can be dual to conformally invariant field theories. Another gravity theory, known as Lifshitz gravity, can be dual to scale-invariant field theories, being not conformally invariant. Also, by introducing both an Abelian gauge field and the scalar dilaton, theories emerge which, in addition to having an anisotropic scaling as the Lifshitz metric, have an overall hyperscaling violating factor with hyperscaling exponent that is not scale invariant; thus, this line element is conformally related to the Lifshitz metric. This spacetime is important in the study of dual field theories with hyperscaling violation [1–14], and it might be a gravitational representation of a theory with a Fermi surface in terms of its leading large N thermodynamic behavior [15, 16]. On the other hand, Lifshitz black holes with hyperscaling violation have been found in [17, 18]. Moreover, Einstein gravity theories coupled to a cosmological constant and multiple SU(2) Yang-Mills fields admit colored Lifshitz solutions as well as hyperscaling violating Lifshitz black holes when we introduce a dilaton to the system and a Maxwell field [19].

In this work, we study scalar perturbations of non-Abelian charged Lifshitz black holes with hyperscaling violation. The matter is parameterized by scalar fields minimally coupled to gravity. Then, we obtain analytically and numerically the quasinormal frequencies for scalar fields. We focus our study on the influence of the dynamical exponent and the hyperscaling exponent.

The study of the quasinormal modes (QNMs) and their quasinormal frequencies (QNFs) [20–25] gives information about the stability of black holes under matter fields that evolve perturbatively in their exterior region without backreacting on the metric. They are independent of the initial conditions and depend only on the parameters of the black hole (mass, charge and angular momentum) and the fundamental constants (Newton constant and cosmological constant) that describe a black hole, just like the parameters that define the test field. On the other hand, the QNFs determine how fast a thermal state in the boundary theory will reach thermal equilibrium according to the AdS/CFT correspondence [26], where the relaxation time of a thermal state is proportional to the inverse of the imag-

inary part of the QNFs of the dual gravity background, which was established due to the QNFs of the black hole being related to the poles of the retarded correlation function of the corresponding perturbations of the dual conformal field theory [27]. The QNFs have been calculated by means of numerical and analytical techniques; some remarkably numerical methods are: the Mashhoon method, Chandrasekhar-Detweiler, WKB method, Frobenius method, method of continued fractions, Nollert, asymptotic iteration method (AIM) and improved AIM, among others. In this work, we will perform numerical studies by using the improved AIM [28], which is an improved version of the method proposed in references [29, 30] and it has been applied successful in the context of QNMs for different black hole geometries (see for instance [28, 31–37]).

Besides, in the context of black hole thermodynamics, QNMs allow the quantum area spectrum of the black hole horizon to be studied as well as the mass and the entropy spectrum. Scalar perturbations of nonlinear charged Lifshitz black branes with hyperscaling violation were studied [31]. It was shown that the black brane is stable under massive and massless scalar field perturbations. Also, it was shown that there is a limit on the dynamical exponent above which the system is always overdamped for a given dimension, and the hyperscaling violating exponent shifts this limit. On the other hand, the relaxation time of the dual thermal states increases when the hyperscaling violating exponent increases and when the nonlinear exponent increases if the system is overdamped [31].

The manuscript is organized as follows. In Sec. II we give a brief review of a non-Abelian hyperscaling violating Lifshitz black hole. In Sec. III we calculate the QNFs of scalar perturbations analytically and numerically by using the improved AIM. Finally, our conclusions are in Sec. IV.

II. NON-ABELIAN HYPERSCALING VIOLATING LIFSHITZ BLACK HOLES

The non-Abelian charged Lifshitz black hole that we consider is a solution of the Einstein gravity coupled to a cosmological constant, $NSU(2)$ Yang-Mills fields A_I^a ($a = 1, 2, 3$ and

$I = 1, 2, ..., N$), Maxwell field $\mathcal{A} = \bar{\varphi} dt$ and a dilaton ϕ [19]. The Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L}_D = \sqrt{-g}\left(R - V(\phi) - \frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2 - \sum_{I=1}^{N} \frac{1}{4g_I^2} e^{\lambda\phi} F_I^2 - \frac{1}{4} e^{\lambda\phi} \mathcal{F}^2\right) , \tag{1}$$

where $\mathcal{F}^2 = F^a_{\mu\nu} F^{a\mu\nu}$. The Yang-Mills and Maxwell field strengths are defined as

$$F^a_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A^a_\nu - \partial_\nu A^a_\mu + \epsilon^{abc} A^b_\mu A^c_\nu \ , \mathcal{F} = d\mathcal{A} \ . \tag{2}$$

When

$$\theta = \frac{2}{D-2}[z - (D-1)] \ , \tag{3}$$

the following metric is a solution of the theory defined by the Lagrangian (1)

$$ds^2 = r^\theta \left(-r^{2z} f(r) dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{r^2 f(r)} + r^2 \sum_{i=1}^{D-2} dx_i^2\right) , \tag{4}$$

with

$$f(r) = 1 - \frac{q^2}{2(z-1) r^{2(z-1)}} \ , \tag{5}$$

$$\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{2z - 2(D-1)}{(D-1)(D-2)(z-1)}} \ , g_s = \frac{1}{2} \ , \Lambda = 1 - z - D(z-1)^2 \ , \tag{6}$$

and the fields are given by

$$\psi = \sqrt{z-1} r \ , \bar{\varphi} = \bar{\varphi}_0 + qr \ , \phi = \sqrt{\frac{2(D-1)}{D-2}(z-1)[z-(D-1)]} \ log \ r \ , \tag{7}$$

and the metric represents a non-Abelian charged Lifshitz black hole solution with hyperscaling violating factor. Note that when $z < D-1$, the dilaton coupling constant λ becomes purely imaginary. However, the reality of the Lagrangian (1) can be restored by letting $\phi \to i\phi$. This implies that the dilaton ϕ for $z < D-1$ has wrong kinetic sign and is therefore ghost-like. Also, when $\lambda = 0$, corresponding to $z = D-1$, we have $\theta = 0$, and the dilaton decouples from the theory [38].

III. SCALAR PERTURBATIONS

The QNMs of scalar perturbations in the background of non-Abelian hyperscaling violating Lifshitz black holes are given by the scalar field solution of the Klein-Gordon equation

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_\mu \left(\sqrt{-g} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \varphi\right) = m^2 \varphi \, , \tag{8}$$

with suitable boundary conditions for a black hole geometry. In the above expression m is the mass of the scalar field φ. Now, by means of the following ansatz

$$\varphi = e^{-i\omega t} e^{i\vec{\kappa}\cdot\vec{x}} R(r)\,, \tag{9}$$

where $\vec{x}$ is a spatial vector in $D-2$ dimensions, and $-\kappa^2$ is the eigenvalue of the Laplacian in the flat base submanifold, the Klein-Gordon equation reduces to

$$\frac{1}{r^\eta}\frac{d}{dr}\left(r^{2+\eta-\theta} f(r)\frac{dR}{dr}\right) + \left(\frac{\omega^2}{r^{\theta+2z} f(r)} - \frac{\kappa^2}{r^{2+\theta}} - m^2\right) R(r) = 0\,, \tag{10}$$

where $\eta = \frac{\theta D}{2} + z + D - 3$. Now, defining $R(r)$ as

$$R(r) = \frac{F(r)}{r^s}\,, \tag{11}$$

where $s = \frac{(D-2)(1+\theta/2)}{2}$, and by using the tortoise coordinate $r*$ given by

$$dr^* = \frac{dr}{r^{z+1} f(r)}\,, \tag{12}$$

the Klein-Gordon equation can be written as a one-dimensional Schrödinger equation

$$\frac{d^2F(r)}{dr^{*2}} - V(r)F(r) = -\omega^2 F(r)\,, \tag{13}$$

with an effective potential $V(r)$ given by

$$V(r) = r^{2z-2} f(r)\left(s r^3 \frac{df}{dr} + s(s+z) r^2 f(r) + \kappa^2 + m^2 r^{2+\theta}\right)\,, \tag{14}$$

that diverges at spatial infinity (see Fig. 1), where we have considered massless scalar fields with $D = 4$, $q = 1$, $\kappa = 0$, and $z = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$ which corresponds to $\theta = -1, 0, 1, 2, 3$, respectively.

At the event horizon, the effective potential $V(r)$ tends to zero; thus, the solution to the Schrödinger equation is given by

$$F(r^*) = C_1 e^{-i\omega r^*} + C_2 e^{i\omega r^*}\,. \tag{15}$$

Imposing as a boundary condition that only ingoing waves exist on the event horizon, we must set $C_2 = 0$. Therefore, the solution near the horizon is given by

$$F(r^*) = C_1 e^{-i\omega r^*}\,. \tag{16}$$



Figure 1. The behavior of $V(r)$ with $D = 4$, $m = 0$, $q = 1$, $\kappa = 0$, and $z = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$.

On the other hand, asymptotically, the effective potential tends to:

$$V(r^*) = \frac{\delta}{z^2 r^{*2}}\,, \tag{17}$$

where we have considered two cases: the first case corresponds to $-2 < \theta < 0$, and the second to $m = 0$ with arbitrary values of θ. In the above expression $\delta = s(s+z) = (3z-1)(z-1)/4$. Notice that the effective potential asymptotically tends to $+\infty$ or to $-\infty$ depending on whether δ is positive ($1 < z$ or $z < 1/3$) or negative ($1/3 < z < 1$), respectively. Therefore, near the boundary, the solution to the Schrödinger equation is

$$F(r^*) = D_1 r^{*\frac{1}{2}(1-\sqrt{1+\frac{4\delta}{z^2}})} + D_2 r^{*\frac{1}{2}(1+\sqrt{1+\frac{4\delta}{z^2}})} \tag{18}$$

So, imposing the Dirichlet boundary condition, that is, having a null scalar field at spatial infinity, we must set $D_1 = 0$ for $z > 1$. Therefore, the solution becomes

$$F(r^*) = D_2 r^{*\frac{1}{2}(1+\sqrt{1+\frac{4\delta}{z^2}})}\,. \tag{19}$$

On the other hand, it is possible to perform a stability analysis following the argument used in [39], adapted to Lifshitz geometries with hyperscaling violation. So, by using outgoing Eddington-Filkenstein coordinates $v = t + x$, and the ansatz

$$\varphi = e^{-i\omega v} e^{i\vec{\kappa}\cdot\vec{x}} \frac{\psi(r)}{r^s}\,, \tag{20}$$

The Klein-Gordon equation yields

$$\frac{d}{dr}(r^{1+z} f(r)\psi'(r)) - 2i\omega\psi'(r) - \frac{V(r)}{f(r)r^{z+1}}\psi(r) = 0\,, \tag{21}$$

Then, multiplying Eq. (21) by ψ^* and performing integrations by parts, and using the Dirichlet boundary condition for the scalar field at spatial infinity, one can obtain

$$\int_{r_h}^{\infty} dr \left(r^{1+z} f(r) \left| \frac{d\psi}{dr} \right|^2 + \frac{V(r)}{f(r) r^{z+1}} |\psi|^2 \right) = - \frac{|\omega|^2 |\psi(r = r_h)|^2}{Im(\omega)} \, . \tag{22}$$

In general, the QNFs are complex, where the real part represents the oscillation frequency and the imaginary part describes the rate at which this oscillation is damped, with the stability of the black hole being guaranteed if the imaginary part is negative. Thus, the stability of the black hole under scalar field perturbations is guaranteed for a strictly positive potential $V(r)$ outside the horizon because in this case, equation (22) is satisfied only for $Im(\omega) < 0$. Notice that the potential (14) is positive for $s > 0$ or $\theta > -2$, what guaranties the stability of the black hole solution.

1. *Analytical case*

The Klein-Gordon equation (10) can be written as

$$x \partial_x^2 R(x) + \left(\frac{3}{2} - z - \frac{(z-1) x^{z-1}}{(1 - x^{z-1})} \right) \partial_x R(x) + \left(\frac{\omega^2 x^{z-1}}{4 r_h^{2z} (1 - x^{z-1})^2} - \frac{\kappa^2}{4 (1 - x^{z-1}) r_h^2} - \frac{m^2 r_h^{\theta}}{4 x^{1+\theta/2} (1 - x^{z-1})} \right) R(x) = 0 \, , \tag{23}$$

where we have used $x = (r_h/r)^2$. Now, by considering massless scalar field perturbations on the background of a non-Abelian charged Lifshitz black hole with hyperscaling violation and with dynamical exponent $z = 2$, the above equation can be written as

$$x \partial_x^2 R(x) - \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{x}{1 - x} \right) \partial_x R(x) + \left(\frac{\omega^2 x}{4 r_h^4 (1 - x)^2} - \frac{\kappa^2}{4 (1 - x) r_h^2} \right) R(x) = 0 \, , \tag{24}$$

which under the change of variable $x = 1 - y$ yields

$$y(1 - y) \partial_y^2 R(y) + \left(1 - \frac{y}{2} \right) \partial_y R(y) + \left(\frac{\omega^2 (1 - y)}{4 r_h^4 y} - \frac{\kappa^2}{4 r_h^2} \right) R(y) = 0 \, , \tag{25}$$

and if we define $R(y) = y^{\alpha} F(y)$, the above equation leads to the hypergeometric equation

$$y(1 - y) F''(y) + [c - (1 + a + b) y] F'(y) - ab F(y) = 0 \, , \tag{26}$$

where

$$\alpha = \pm \frac{i\omega}{2 r_h^2} \, , \tag{27}$$

and the constants are given by

$$a_{1,2} = \alpha - \frac{1}{4} \mp \frac{\sqrt{r_h^4 - 4r_h^2\kappa^2 - 4\omega^2}}{4r_h^2} \ , \tag{28}$$

$$b_{1,2} = \alpha - \frac{1}{4} \pm \frac{\sqrt{r_h^4 - 4r_h^2\kappa^2 - 4\omega^2}}{4r_h^2} \ , \tag{29}$$

$$c = 1 + 2\alpha \ . \tag{30}$$

The general solution of the hypergeometric equation (26) is

$$F(y) = C_1 {}_2F_1(a, b, c; y) + C_2 y^{1-c} {}_2F_1(a - c + 1, b - c + 1, 2 - c; y) \ , \tag{31}$$

and it has three regular singular points at $y = 0$, $y = 1$, and $y = \infty$. ${}_2F_1(a, b, c; y)$ is a hypergeometric function and C_1 and C_2 are integration constants. So, in the vicinity of the horizon, $y = 0$ and using the property $F(a, b, c, 0) = 1$, the function $R(y)$ behaves as

$$R(y) = C_1 e^{\alpha \ln y} + C_2 e^{-\alpha \ln y}, \tag{32}$$

and the scalar field ψ, for $\alpha = \alpha_-$ can be written as follows:

$$\psi \sim C_1 e^{-i\omega(t + \ln y/(2r_h^2))} + C_2 e^{-i\omega(t - \ln y/(2r_h^2))} \ , \tag{33}$$

in which the first term represents an ingoing wave and the second an outgoing wave in the black hole. So, by imposing that only ingoing waves existing on the event horizon, this fixes $C_2 = 0$. The radial solution then becomes

$$R(y) = C_1 e^{\alpha \ln y} {}_2F_1(a, b, c; y) = C_1 e^{-\frac{i\omega}{2r_h^2} \ln y} {}_2F_1(a, b, c; y) \ . \tag{34}$$

To implement boundary conditions at infinity ($y = 1$), we apply Kummer's formula for the hypergeometric function [40],

$${}_2F_1(a, b, c; y) = \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c - a - b)}{\Gamma(c - a)\Gamma(c - b)} F_1 + (1 - y)^{c-a-b} \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(a + b - c)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} F_2 \ , \tag{35}$$

where

$$F_1 = {}_2F_1(a, b, a + b - c, 1 - y) \ , \tag{36}$$

$$F_2 = {}_2F_1(c - a, c - b, c - a - b + 1, 1 - y) \ . \tag{37}$$

With this expression, the radial function (34) reads

$$R(y) = C_1 e^{-\frac{i\omega}{2r_h^2} \ln y} \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c - a - b)}{\Gamma(c - a)\Gamma(c - b)} F_1 + C_1 e^{-\frac{i\omega}{2r_h^2} \ln y} (1 - y)^{c-a-b} \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(a + b - c)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} F_2 \ , \tag{38}$$

and at infinity it can be written as

$$R_{asymp.}(y) = C_1 \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)} + C_1(1-y)^{3/2}\frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(a+b-c)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} = C_1\frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)}\,. \tag{39}$$

So, the field at infinity vanishes if $c-a=-n$ or $c-b=-n$ for $n=0,1,2,....$ Therefore, the QNFs are given by

$$\omega = -\frac{i\,(2r_h^2(n+1)(2n+3)+\kappa^2)}{4n+5}\,. \tag{40}$$

Because all the QNFs have a purely negative imaginary part we conclude that this black hole is stable under massless scalar field perturbations and the system is always overdamped. Note that the QNFs for massless scalar fields do not depend on the dimension of the space-time.

2. Numerical analysis

The Klein-Gordon equation under the following change of variable $y=1-r_h/r$ to equation (10), where r_h denotes the location of the event horizon becomes

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d^2R}{dy^2}+\left(\frac{\eta-\theta}{1-y}+\frac{f'(y)}{f(y)}\right)\frac{dR}{dy}\\&+\left(\frac{\omega^2(1-y)^{2z-2}}{r_h^{2z}f(y)^2}-\frac{\kappa^2}{r_h^2 f(y)}-\frac{m^2 r_h^\theta}{(1-y)^{\theta+2}f(y)}\right)R=0\,.\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

In this Eq. $f(y)$ refers to the function $f(r)$ evaluated at $r=\frac{r_h}{1-y}$; that is

$$f(y) = 1-\frac{q^2(1-y)^{2(z-1)}}{2(z-1)r_h^{2(z-1)}}\,, \tag{42}$$

and $f'(y)=\frac{df(y)}{dy}$. Now, in order to implement the improved AIM we must consider the behavior of the scalar field on the event horizon and at spatial infinity, which are given by Eq. (16) and Eq. (19), respectively. Thus, taking into account these behaviors, in terms of the variable y, where the event horizon is located at $y=0$ and the spatial infinity at $y=1$, we define

$$R\,(y) = y^{-\frac{i\omega}{r_h^z f'(0)}}(1-y)^{\frac{1}{2}z(1+\sqrt{1+\frac{4\delta}{z^2}})}\chi(y) \tag{43}$$

as ansatz. Then, by inserting these fields in Eq. (41) we obtain the homogeneous linear second-order differential equation for the function $\chi(z)$

$$\chi'' = \lambda_0(y)\chi' + s_0(y)\chi\,, \tag{44}$$

where

$$\lambda_0(y) = \frac{1}{r_h^z f'(0) y(1-y) f(y)}((r_h^z f'(0) y(3+\theta - D(1+\theta/2) + z\sqrt{1+4\delta/z^2}) \\ +2i(1-y)\omega) f(y) - r_h^z f'(0) y(1-y) f'(y))\,, \tag{45}$$

$$s_0(y) = -\frac{1}{2r_h^{2(z+1)} f'(0)^2 y^2 (1-y)^{2+\theta} f(y)^2}(2r_h^2 f'(0)^2 \omega^2 y^2 (1-y)^{2z+\theta} - r_h^2 (1-y)^\theta \\ (r_h^{2z} f'(0)^2 y^2(-2\delta + (D-2)z(1+\theta/2)(1+\sqrt{1+4\delta/z^2})) - 2ir_h^z f'(0)(1-y) \\ (1-(D-2)(1+\theta/2)y + z\sqrt{1+4\delta/z^2}y)\omega + 2(1-y)^2\omega^2) f(y)^2 - r_h^z f'(0) y f(y) \\ (2r_h^z f'(0) y(r_h^{\theta+2} m^2 + \kappa^2 (1-y)^{2+\theta}) + r_h^2 (1-y)^{1+\theta}(r_h^z f'(0) z(1+\sqrt{1+4\delta/z^2}) y \\ +2i(1-y)\omega) f'(y)))\,. \tag{46}$$

We solve this equation numerically (see Appendix A) and we choose different values for the parameters. Then, in Table I we show fundamental QNFs for massless scalar fields for $D = 4$, with different values of the dynamical z or equivalently different values of the hyperscaling violating exponent, and different values of q. We can observe that $|\omega|$ increases when the dynamical exponent increases or when the charge q increases. Next, in Table II we consider massless scalar fields with $\kappa = 0$, $\theta = 0$ (without hyperscaling factor), and different values of D and q. We observe that $|\omega|$ increases when the dimension increases or when the charge q increases. In Table III we show some QNFs for massless scalar field, $\kappa = 0$, $q = 1$, $D = 4$ and different values of z, where we can observe a similar behavior for $|\omega|$. Finally, in Table IV we show fundamental QNFs for $\kappa = 0$, $q = 1$, $z = 2$, and different values of m and D. Here, we observe that $|\omega|$ increases when the scalar field mass increases. Furthermore, we observe that in all the cases analyzed the QNFs have an imaginary part that is negative and is always overdamped, which ensures the stability of non-Abelian charged Lifshitz black holes with hyperscaling violation under scalar perturbations.

IV. FINAL REMARKS

In this work we have studied the QNFs of scalar field perturbations of non-Abelian hyperscaling violating Lifshitz black holes. First, we have obtained analytically the QNFs of massless scalar fields for Lifshitz black holes with dynamical exponent $z = 2$ and hyperscaling violating factor $\theta > -2$. Then, we have considered the cases $-2 < \theta < 0$ and $m = 0$ (with arbitrary θ); and we have obtained numerically the QNFs. Also, we have studied the stability

Table I. Fundamental quasinormal frequencies for $m = 0$, $\kappa = 0$, $D = 4$, and different values of q and z.

z	θ	$q = 0.1$	$q = 1$	$q = 2$	$q = 5$	$q = 10$
2.0	-1.0	$-0.00600i$	$-0.60000i$	$-2.40001i$	$-15.00000i$	$-60.00010i$
2.5	-0.5	$-0.01633i$	$-0.75795i$	$-2.40634i$	$-11.08130i$	$-35.18090i$
3.0	0	$-0.02932i$	$-0.92725i$	$-2.62266i$	$-10.36700i$	$-29.32230i$
3.5	0.5	$-0.04360i$	$-1.09505i$	$-2.88985i$	$-10.42300i$	$-27.50630i$
4.0	1.0	$-0.05840i$	$-1.25824i$	$-3.17057i$	$-10.75780i$	$-27.10800i$
4.5	1.5	$-0.07335i$	$-1.41608i$	$-3.45243i$	$-11.21400i$	$-27.34010i$
5.0	2.0	$-0.08820i$	$-1.56852i$	$-3.73059i$	$-11.72740i$	$-27.89260i$
5.5	2.5	$-0.10286i$	$-1.71581i$	$-4.00307i$	$-12.26770i$	$-28.62140i$
6.0	3.0	$-0.11725i$	$-1.85826i$	$-4.26915i$	$-12.81950i$	$-29.45140i$

Table II. Fundamental quasinormal frequencies for $m = 0$, $\kappa = 0$, $\theta = 0$, and different values of D and q.

D	z	$q = 0.1$	$q = 1$	$q = 2$	$q = 5$	$q = 10$
4	3	$-0.02932i$	$-0.92725i$	$-2.68266i$	$-10.36700i$	$-29.32230i$
5	4	$-0.05840i$	$-1.25824i$	$-3.17057i$	$-10.75780i$	$-27.10800i$
6	5	$-0.08820i$	$-1.56852i$	$-3.73059i$	$-11.72740i$	$-27.89260i$
7	6	$-0.11725i$	$-1.85826i$	$-4.26915i$	$-12.81950i$	$-29.45140i$
8	7	$-0.14511i$	$-2.12998i$	$-4.78164i$	$-13.92650i$	$-31.26380i$

of these black holes under massive and massless scalar field perturbations. In general, our results show that the QNFs have a negative imaginary part and is always overdamped. Therefore, the black hole is stable under massive and massless scalar field perturbations. This is contrary to other geometries with hyperscaling violating exponent [31], where there is a limit on the dynamical exponent above which the system are always overdamped for a given dimension, and the hyperscaling violating exponent shifts this limit. It is worth mentioning that the QNFs for massless scalar fields do not depend on the dimension of the spacetime for $z = 2$. Additionally, when we increase the hyperscaling violating exponent, the dynamical exponent also increases for a given dimension, and the relaxation time of the

Table III. Lowest quasinormal frequencies for $m = 0$, $\kappa = 0$, $q = 1$, $D = 4$ and different values of z.

z	θ	$n = 0$	$n = 1$	$n = 2$	$n = 3$
2	-1	$-0.60000i$	$-1.11111i$	$-1.61539i$	$-2.11765i$
3	0	$-0.92725i$	$-1.64194i$	$-2.35195i$	$-3.06051i$
4	1	$-1.25824i$	$-2.15022i$	$-3.06389i$	$-3.97281i$

Table IV. fundamental quasinormal frequencies for $\kappa = 0$, $q = 1$, $z = 2$, and different values of m and D.

m	$D = 4$ $\theta = -1$	$D = 5$ $\theta = -4/3$	$D = 6$ $\theta = -3/2$	$D = 7$ $\theta = -8/5$	$D = 8$ $\theta = -5/3$
0	$-0.60000i$	$-0.60000i$	$-0.60000i$	$-0.60000i$	$-0.60000i$
1	$-0.72345i$	$-0.74414i$	$-0.75601i$	$-0.76371i$	$-0.76911i$
2	$-1.01120i$	$-1.09099i$	$-1.14103i$	$-1.17584i$	$-1.20168i$

dual thermal states decreases, and when we increase the the charge q the relaxation time decreases too.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was funded by the Comisión Nacional de Ciencias y Tecnología through FONDECYT Grant 11140674 (PAG). P. A. G. acknowledges the hospitality of the Universidad de La Serena where part of this work was undertaken.

Appendix A: Improved AIM

In this appendix we give a brief review of the improved AIM, which is used to solve homogeneous linear second-order differential equations. First, in order to implement the improved AIM it is necessary to write the differential equation in the form

$$\chi'' = \lambda_0(y)\chi' + s_0(y)\chi\,. \tag{A1}$$

Then, one must to differentiate Eq. (A1) n times with respect to y, which yields the following equation:

$$\chi^{n+2} = \lambda_n(y)\chi' + s_n(y)\chi\ , \tag{A2}$$

where

$$\lambda_n(y) = \lambda'_{n-1}(y) + s_{n-1}(y) + \lambda_0(y)\lambda_{n-1}(y)\ , \tag{A3}$$

$$s_n(y) = s'_{n-1}(y) + s_0(y)\lambda_{n-1}(y)\,. \tag{A4}$$

Then, expanding the λ_n and s_n in a Taylor series around some point η, at which the improved AIM is performed, yields

$$\lambda_n(\eta) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_n^i (y-\eta)^i\,, \tag{A5}$$

$$s_n(\eta) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} d_n^i (y-\eta)^i\,, \tag{A6}$$

where the c_n^i and d_n^i are the i^{th} Taylor coefficients of $\lambda_n(\eta)$ and $s_n(\eta)$, respectively, and by replacing the above expansions in Eqs. (A3) and (A4) the following set of recursion relations for the coefficients is obtained:

$$c_n^i = (i+1)c_{n-1}^{i+1} + d_{n-1}^i + \sum_{k=0}^{i} c_0^k c_{n-1}^{i-k}\ , \tag{A7}$$

$$d_n^i = (i+1)d_{n-1}^{i+1} + \sum_{k=0}^{i} d_0^k c_{n-1}^{i-k}\,. \tag{A8}$$

In this manner, the authors of the improved AIM have avoided the derivatives that contain the AIM in [28, 32], and the quantization condition, which is equivalent to imposing a termination to the number of iterations, is given by

$$d_n^0 c_{n-1}^0 - d_{n-1}^0 c_n^0 = 0 \ . \tag{A9}$$

---

[1] X. Dong, S. Harrison, S. Kachru, G. Torroba and H. Wang, JHEP **1206** (2012) 041 [arXiv:1201.1905 [hep-th]].

[2] K. Narayan, Phys. Rev. D **85** (2012) 106006 [arXiv:1202.5935 [hep-th]].

[3] E. Perlmutter, JHEP **1206** (2012) 165 [arXiv:1205.0242 [hep-th]].

[4] M. Ammon, M. Kaminski and A. Karch, JHEP **1211** (2012) 028 [arXiv:1207.1726 [hep-th]].

[5] J. Bhattacharya, S. Cremonini and A. Sinkovics, JHEP **1302** (2013) 147 [arXiv:1208.1752 [hep-th]].

[6] P. Dey and S. Roy, Phys. Lett. B **720** (2013) 419 [arXiv:1209.1049 [hep-th]].

[7] M. Alishahiha, E. O Colgain and H. Yavartanoo, JHEP **1211** (2012) 137 [arXiv:1209.3946 [hep-th]].

[8] J. Gath, J. Hartong, R. Monteiro and N. A. Obers, JHEP **1304** (2013) 159 [arXiv:1212.3263 [hep-th]].

[9] P. Bueno, W. Chemissany and C. S. Shahbazi, Eur. Phys. J. C **74** (2014) 1, 2684 [arXiv:1212.4826 [hep-th]].

[10] N. Iizuka, S. Kachru, N. Kundu, P. Narayan, N. Sircar, S. P. Trivedi and H. Wang, JHEP **1303** (2013) 126 [arXiv:1212.1948 [hep-th]].

[11] Z. Fan, Phys. Rev. D **88** (2013) 2, 026018 [arXiv:1303.6053 [hep-th]].

[12] Z. Fan, JHEP **1308** (2013) 119 [arXiv:1305.1151 [hep-th]].

[13] Z. Fan, JHEP **1309** (2013) 048 [arXiv:1305.2000 [hep-th]].

[14] J. Bhattacharya, S. Cremonini and B. Gouteraux, JHEP **1502** (2015) 035 [arXiv:1409.4797 [hep-th]].

[15] N. Ogawa, T. Takayanagi and T. Ugajin, JHEP **1201** (2012) 125 [arXiv:1111.1023 [hep-th]].

[16] Liza Huijse, Subir Sachdev, and Brian Swingle, PhysRevB.85.035121. arXiv:1112.0573 [cond-mat.str-el].

[17] M. H. Dehghani, A. Sheykhi and S. E. Sadati, Phys. Rev. D **91**, no. 12, 124073 (2015) [arXiv:1505.01134 [hep-th]].

[18] M. A. Ganjali, arXiv:1508.05614 [hep-th].

[19] X. H. Feng and W. J. Geng, Phys. Lett. B **747**, 395 (2015) [arXiv:1502.00863 [hep-th]].

[20] T. Regge and J. A. Wheeler, Phys. Rev. **108**, 1063 (1957).

[21] F. J. Zerilli, Phys. Rev. D **2**, 2141 (1970).

[22] F. J. Zerilli, Phys. Rev. Lett. **24**, 737 (1970).

[23] K. D. Kokkotas and B. G. Schmidt, Living Rev. Rel. **2**, 2 (1999) [gr-qc/9909058].

[24] H. -P. Nollert, Class. Quant. Grav. **16**, R159 (1999).

[25] R. A. Konoplya and A. Zhidenko, Rev. Mod. Phys. **83**, 793 (2011) [arXiv:1102.4014 [gr-qc]].

[26] J. M. Maldacena, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 231 (1998) [hep-th/9711200].

[27] D. Birmingham, I. Sachs and S. N. Solodukhin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 151301 (2002) [hep-th/0112055].

[28] H. T. Cho, A. S. Cornell, J. Doukas and W. Naylor, Class. Quant. Grav. **27** (2010) 155004 [arXiv:0912.2740 [gr-qc]].

[29] H. Ciftci, R. L. Hall, and N. Saad, J. Phys. A **36**(47), 11807-11816 (2003).

[30] H. Ciftci, R. L. Hall and N. Saad, Phys. Lett. A **340** (2005) 388.

[31] P. A. Gonzalez and Y. Vasquez, arXiv:1509.00802 [hep-th].

[32] H. T. Cho, A. S. Cornell, J. Doukas, T. R. Huang and W. Naylor, Adv. Math. Phys. **2012** (2012) 281705 [arXiv:1111.5024 [gr-qc]].

[33] M. Catalan, E. Cisternas, P. A. Gonzalez and Y. Vasquez, Eur. Phys. J. C **74** (2014) 3, 2813 [arXiv:1312.6451 [gr-qc]].

[34] M. Catalan, E. Cisternas, P. A. Gonzalez and Y. Vasquez, arXiv:1404.3172 [gr-qc].

[35] W. Sybesma and S. Vandoren, JHEP **1505**, 021 (2015) [arXiv:1503.07457 [hep-th]].

[36] C. Y. Zhang, S. J. Zhang and B. Wang, arXiv:1501.03260 [hep-th].

[37] T. Barakat, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **21** (2006) 4127.

[38] Z. Y. Fan and H. Lu, JHEP **1504** (2015) 139 [arXiv:1501.05318 [hep-th]].

[39] G. T. Horowitz and V. E. Hubeny, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 024027 (2000) [hep-th/9909056].

[40] M. Abramowitz and A. Stegun, Handbook of Mathematical functions, (Dover publications, New York, 1970).